

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 JERRY PROFFITT,
12 Petitioner,
13 v.
14 JOE LAZARRAG, Warden,
15 Respondent.
16

No. 2:20-cv-00667 KJM GGH P

ORDER

17 Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ of habeas
18 corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as
19 provided by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

20 On April 20, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were
21 served on petitioner and which contained notice to petitioner that any objections to the findings
22 and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. ECF No. 11. Petitioner has filed
23 objections to the findings and recommendations. ECF No. 12.

24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this
25 court has conducted a *de novo* review of this case. Having reviewed the file, the court finds the
26 findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

27 /////


28 /////

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. The findings and recommendations filed April 20, 2020, are adopted in full; and

2. This action is dismissed as a second or successive habeas corpus application without prejudice to its refiling with a copy of an order from the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals authorizing petitioner to file a successive petition.

DATED: June 16, 2020.


CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE